Case: 23-2302, 02/21/2025, DktEntry: 101.1, Page 1 of 1



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

Appellate and Supreme Court Litigation Branch Washington, D.C. 20570

February 21, 2025

VIA CM/ECF

Molly C. Dwyer Clerk of Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit P.O. Box 193939 San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

Re: Cemex Construction Materials Pacific, LLC v. NLRB,

9th Cir. Nos. 23-2081, 23-2302, 23-2377 Oral argument held October 21, 2024

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

The Board respectfully notifies the Court that it no longer presses the argument in its brief regarding the constitutionality of the removal protections for Board administrative law judges. (Board-Br.17.) That said, the Board continues to maintain its position that the challenges to those statutory removal protections are not properly before the Court under Section 10(e) of the Act. (Board-Br.15-16.) The Board also maintains that even if those issues were properly before the Court, they would nonetheless fail because Cemex has not met the causal-harm requirement for such claims. (Board-Br.16.)

Very truly yours,

/s/ Ruth E. Burdick

Ruth E. Burdick Deputy Associate General Counsel National Labor Relations Board 1015 Half Street, SE Washington, DC 20570

cc: all counsel (via CM/ECF)